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1. Introduction

Circular designs were first defiñed by Das (1960). A circular design with $v$ trealments in blocks of size $k$ can be obtained by slightly modifying his definition, i.e., by developing the initial block, $1,1+d, \cdots$ $1+(k-1) d, \bmod (v)$ where $d$ is any number prime to $v$. As the efficiency of a design does not depend on $d$, the value of $d$ will be taken as 1 and this will simplify the construction and analysis of the design. For example, if $k=3$ the design will have $b(=v)$ blocks each of size 3, each treatment replicated 3 times. These designs have the special advantage that they are available for any number of varieties and do not involve any problem of construction (Kempthorne, 1952). As it has been indicated by Das (1960), these designs are partially balanced incomplete block design with $(v-1) / 2$ or $y / 2$ associate classes according as $v$ is odd or even. Evidently the analysis of these designs following the method of P.B.I.B. designs (Bose, 1939 and Rao, 1947) will be very complicated due to yery large number of associate classes. But Das has shown that the normal equations corresponding to these designs can be solved without much inconvenience, as the number of normal equations can be reduced to $(k-1)$ equations, whatever $y$ may be. Though he indicated in a general way the method of analysis for any $k$, specific results were given by him only in the case of $k=2$. When $k=3$, he indicated that suitable tables have to be prepared by consulting which the solutions of the equations can be obtained. In the present paper the complete analysis of designs with $k=3$ and 4 have been presented together with the preparation of necessary tables. Moreover, it has been shown that by modifying the definition of such designs as stated afterwards, some new series of designs can be obtained which give in "general more efficient estimates than those obtained through circular designs with the same block size and replication. Further the circular designs with $k=2$ have the limitation that the number of replications must be 2 and the degrees of freedom for error mean square is always unity.. It has been attempted to com-
bine several designs with $k=2$ and get fresh designs which do not suffer from any of the limitations (Kempthorne, 1953). As the method of analysis follows more or less on the same lines as suggested by Das, it has not been described any further but the final results for-the intra-; block analysis have been presented below separately for each case.

## 2. Particular Cases

### 2.1. Case 1 When $k=2$

Though this case has been presented by Das, it has been presented here only for the sake of completeness.

The design is obtained by developing the initial block $(1,2)$ $\bmod (v)$ or the initial block with any constant difference. The solution for $t_{i}$ for this design is

$$
\begin{aligned}
v t_{i} & =\sum_{u=1}^{p}(p-u+1)\left(p-u t_{2}\right) Q_{i}^{u-1}\left(v \text { is odd and } p=\frac{v-1}{2}\right) \\
& =\sum_{v=1}^{p}(p-u+1)^{2} Q_{i}^{u-1} \quad\left(v \text { is even and } p=\frac{v}{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $Q_{a}$ is the adjusted total of the $a$-th treatment and $Q_{i}{ }^{u}=Q_{i+u}+Q_{i-u},(i+u)$ and $(i+u)$ being taken $\bmod v$ wherever necessary and $Q_{i}{ }^{0}$ is to be taken as $Q_{i}$ only. These definitions of $Q_{i}{ }^{*}$, etc., have been used throughout the' paper. The different variances of differences between treatment pairs,' are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Var}\left(t_{i}-t_{i+u}\right) & =\frac{2 u(v-u)}{v} \sigma^{2} \\
u & =1, \cdots p
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\sigma^{2}$ is the error variance. Efficiency factor as worked out from the average variance $=3 /(v+1)$.

No table is necessary in this case.
2.21. Case $2 a$-When $k=3$ and $v$ is odd

The design can be obtained byideveloping the initial block $(1,2,3)$ $\bmod \boldsymbol{r}_{1}$,

The solution for $t_{i}$ for the design is given by the expression

$$
4 v t_{i}=\sum_{x=1}^{p}(p-u+1)(p-u+2) Q_{i}^{u-1}-\frac{2 v}{\beta_{1}} \sum_{n=1}^{p} U_{p-x} Q_{i}{ }^{n-1}
$$

where

$$
\beta_{1}=-2 U_{p-1}+5 U_{p-2}-2 U_{p-3}-U_{p-4} \text { and } p=\frac{v-1}{2} .
$$

The different $U$ 's can be obtained from the recurrence relation

$$
U_{u}=-2 U_{u-1}+6 U_{u-2}-2 U_{u-3}-U_{u-4} ;
$$

the initial values of $U$ 's being

$$
U_{0}=1, \quad U_{1}=-3, \quad U_{2}=12, \text { etc. }
$$

The variances of differences between treatment pairs are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Var}\left(t_{i}-t_{i \pm u}\right) & =\frac{1}{2 v}\left\{u(v-u)-\frac{2 v}{\beta_{1}}\left(U_{p-1}-U_{p \rightarrow u-1}\right)\right\} \sigma^{\mathbf{1}} \\
u & =1,2, \cdots, p .
\end{aligned}
$$

When $u=p_{0}, U_{p-u-1}$ is to be taken as zero. The values of $U$ 's and $\beta_{1}$, for $v$ up to 49 have been tabulated and presented in Table I.

Efficiency factor as obtained from the average variance

$$
=\frac{4 v p}{v p(p+1)-\frac{6 v}{\beta_{1}}\left(p U_{p-1}-\sum_{u=1}^{p} U_{p-u-1}\right)}
$$

and tabulated values have been given in the table for different values of $v$ up to 30 .
2.22. Case $2 b$-When $k=3$; and $v$ is even

The solution for $t_{i}$ for the designs is given by the expression

$$
4 v t_{i}=\frac{3}{2} \sum_{u=1}^{p}\left(p_{1}-u+1\right)^{2} Q_{i}^{u-1}-\frac{2 v}{\beta_{j}} \sum_{u=1}^{p} U_{p-u} Q_{i}{ }^{n-1}
$$

where $\beta_{1}, U_{n}$ and variance expressions are the same as given above except that the initial values in the recurrence relation are different, viz. $, U_{\varphi}=1, U_{1}=-2, U_{2}=9$, etc.

## Table I

Values of $U_{r}$ and $\beta_{1}$ which appear in the solution of the treatment effects in designs with block size 3

| $r$ | Ur | $v$ | $\beta_{1}$ | E.F. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | 5 | 11 | 0.8148 |
| 1 | -3 | 7 | -41 | 0.6833 |
| 2 | 12 | 9 | 153 | 0.5862 |
| 3 | -44 | 11 | -571 | 0.5152 |
| 4 | 165 | 13 | 2,131 | 0.4549 |
| 5 | :-615 | 15 | -7,953 | 0.4088 |
| 6 | 2,296 | 17 | 29,681 | $0 \cdot 3787$ |
| 7 | -8,568 | 19 | -1,10,771 | $0 \cdot 3398$ |
| 8 | 31,977 | 21 | 4,13,403 | 0.3133 |
| 9 | -1,19,339 | 23 | -15,42,841 | 0.2906 |
| 10 | 4,45,380 | 25 | 57,57,961 | 0.2710 |
| 11 | -16,62,180 | 27 | -2,14,89,003 | $0 \cdot 2528$ |
| 12 | 62,03,341 | 29 | 8,01,98,015 | $0 \cdot 2378$ |
| 13 | -2,31,51,183 | 31 | -29,93,03,201 |  |
| 14 | 8,64,01,392 | 33 | 1,11,70,14,609 |  |
| 15 | -32,24,54,384 | 35 | -4,16,87,55,715 | .. |
| 16 | 1,20,34,16,145 | 37 | 15,55,80,08,539 | .. |
| 17 | -4,49,12,10,195 | 39 | -58,06,32,78,153 | . |
| 18 | 16,76,14,24,636 | 41 | 2,16,69,51,04,121 | . |
| 19 | $-62,55,44,88,348$ | 43 | -8,08,71,71,38,334 | . |
| 20 | 2,33,45,65,28,757 | 45 | 30,18,17,34,55,203 | . |
| 21 | -8,71,27,16,26,679 |  | -1,12,63,97,66,58,481 | . |
| 22 | 32,51,62,99,77,960 | 49 | 4,20,37,73,31,84,724 | . |
| 23 | $-1,21,35,24,82,85,760$ | . | .. | . |
| 24 | 4,52,89,36,31,62,681 | . | . | . |

Efficiency factor

$$
=\frac{4 v(v-1)}{p\left(v^{2}-1\right)-\frac{6 v}{\beta_{1}}\left\{(v-1) U_{p-1}-2 \sum_{u=1}^{p-1} U_{p \rightarrow u-1}\right\}}
$$

Tabulated values for $\beta_{1}$ and $U$ 's have been presented in Table II.
2.31. Case $3 a$-When $k=4$ and $v$ is odd

The design can be obtained by developing the initial block ( 1,2 , 3, 4) $\bmod v$.

The solution for $t_{i}$ for the design is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
10 v t_{i}= & \sum_{u=1}^{p}(p-u+1)(p-u+2) Q_{i}^{u-1} \\
& +\frac{2 v\left(\beta_{2}-4 \gamma_{2}\right)}{\left(\beta_{1} \gamma_{2}-\beta_{2} \gamma_{1}\right)} \sum_{u=1}^{p-1} U_{p-u-1} Q_{i}^{u-1} \\
& +\frac{2 v\left(4 \gamma_{1}-\beta_{1}\right)}{\left(\beta_{1} \gamma_{2}-\beta_{2} \gamma_{1}\right)} \sum_{u=1}^{p} V_{p-u} Q_{i}{ }^{u-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta_{1}=-3 U_{p-2}+10 U_{p-3}-4 U_{p-4}-2 U_{p-5}-U_{p \rightarrow 6} . \\
& \gamma_{1}=U_{\nu-1}-U_{p-3} . \\
& \beta_{2}=-3 V_{p-1}+10 V_{p-2}-4 V_{p-3}-2 V_{p-4}-V_{p-5} . \\
& \gamma_{2}=V_{p}-V_{n \rightarrow 2} . \\
& U_{u}=-2 U_{u-1}-3 U_{u-2}+12 U_{u-3}-3 U_{u-4}-2 U_{u-5}-U_{u-6} . \\
& V_{u}=-2 V_{u-1}-3 V_{u-2}+12 V_{u-3}-3 V_{u-4}-2 V_{u-5}-V_{k i-6} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The initial values of $U$ 's and $V$ 's are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U_{0}=1, U_{1}=-3, U_{2}=3, \quad U_{3}=15, \text { etc. } \\
& V_{0}=1, \quad V_{1}=1, \quad V_{2}=-8, \quad V_{3}=24, \text { etc. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The different variances of difference between treatment pairs are given by

## Table II

$V^{2}$.lues of $U_{r}$ and $\beta_{1}$ which appear in the solution of the treatment effects in designs with block size 3

| $r$ | $\mathrm{U}_{r}$ | $\nu$ | $\beta_{1}$ | E.F. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | 6 | 30 | 0.7435 |
| 1 | -2 | 8 | -112 | 0.6312 |
| 2 | 9 | 10 | 418 | $0 \cdot 5498$ |
| 3 | -32 | 12 | -1,560 | 0.4820 |
| 4 | 121 | 14 | 5,820 | 0.4306 |
| 5 | -450 | 16 | -21,728 | $0 \cdot 3891$ |
| 6 | 681 | 18 | 81,090 | $0 \cdot 3548$ |
| 7 | -6,272 | 20 | -3,00,632 | $0 \cdot 3262$ |
| 8 | 23,409 | 22 | 11,20,438 | $0 \cdot 3014$ |
| 9 | -86,362 | 24 | 41,83,120 | 0.2845 |
| 10 | 3,24,041 | 26 | 1,56,10,042 | $0 \cdot 2612$ |
| 11 | -12,06,800 | 28 | -5,82,59,048 | $0 \cdot 2460$ |
| 12 | 45,07,161 | 30 | 21,74,24,150 | $0 \cdot 2257$ |
| 13 | -1,68,16,842 | 32 | -81,14,39,552 |  |
| 14 | 6,27,66,209 | 34 | 3,02,83,32,058 | . |
| 15 | -23,42,40,992 | 36 | -11,30,18,90,680 | . |
| 16 | 87,42,05,761 | 38 | 42,17,92,78,662 | $\ldots$ |
| 17 | -3 26,25,73,050 | 40 | $-1,57,41,50,25,968$ | . |
| 18 | 1217,60,96,441 | 42 | 5,87,48,08,73,210 | . |
| 19 | -45,44,18,01,712 | 44 | $-21,92,50,84,68,872$ |  |
| 20 | 1,69,59,11,22,409 | 46 | 81,82,55,30,00,278 | $\cdots$ |
| 21 | -6,32,92,26,74,922 |  | -3,05,37,70,35,34,240 | . |
| 22 | 23,62,09,95,91,281 | $\ldots$ | . | . |
| 23 | -88,15,47,56,75,200 | -. | . . | . |
| 24 | 3,28,99,80,31,25,521 | $\cdots$ | . | . |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Var}\left(t_{i}-t_{i \pm u}\right) \\
& \qquad \begin{array}{l}
=\frac{1}{5 v}\left\{u(v-u)+\frac{2 v\left(\beta_{2}-4 \gamma_{2}\right)}{\left.\beta_{1} \gamma_{2}-\beta_{2} \gamma_{1}\right)}\left(U_{1-2}-U_{p-u-2}\right)\right. \\
\left.+\frac{2 v\left(4 \gamma_{1}-\beta_{1}\right)}{\left(\beta_{1} \gamma_{2}-\beta_{2} \gamma_{1}\right)}\left(V_{p-1}-V_{p-u-1}\right)\right\} \sigma^{2} \\
u=1, \cdots p .
\end{array} \\
& \quad u=1
\end{aligned}
$$

Tabulated values of $U^{\prime} s, \quad V ' s,\left(4 \gamma_{1}-\beta_{1}\right) v,\left(\beta_{2}-4 \gamma_{2}\right) v$, ( $\beta_{1} \gamma_{2}-\beta_{2} \gamma_{1}$ ) and efficiency factor have been presented in Table III.
2.32. Case $3 b$-When $k=4$ and $v$ is even

The solution for $t_{i}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
10 v t_{i}= & \left.2 \sum_{u=1}^{p}(p-u+1)^{2} Q_{i}{ }^{u-1}+\frac{2 v\left(\beta_{2}-4 \gamma_{2}\right)}{\left(\beta_{1}^{-} \gamma_{2}-\beta_{2} \gamma_{1}\right.}\right) \\
& \times \sum_{u=1}^{p-1} U_{p-u-1} Q_{i}{ }^{u-1}+\frac{2 v\left(4 \cdot{ }_{2}^{\prime}-\beta_{1}\right)}{\left(\beta_{1} \gamma_{2}-\beta_{2} \gamma_{-}\right)} \sum_{k=1}^{p} V_{p-u} Q_{i}{ }^{u-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

The initial values for $U$ 's and $V$ 's are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U_{0}=1, U_{1}=-2, U_{2}=0, U_{3}=18, \text { etc. } \\
& V_{0}=1, V_{1}=1, V_{2}=-5, V_{3}=16, \text { etc. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Tabulated values for U's, $V$ 's, $\left(\beta_{2}-4 \gamma_{2}\right) \nu,\left(4 \gamma_{1}-\beta_{1}\right) \nu$ and ( $\beta_{1} \gamma_{2}-\beta_{2} \gamma_{1}$ ) have been presented in Table IV together with E.F.

## 3. Some Modified Circular Designs

All the above designs have been developed from only one initial block giving as many replications as the block size. Sometime particularly when $k=2$, it may be necessary to have more replications keeping the block size the same. This is possible by taking more than one initial block and getting the designs by developing them. Such designs have been discussed by Kempthorne (1953). The initial blocks can be chosen in various ways. By just repeating one initial block, it is possible to get such a design. But the efficiency of the designs can be increased by taking different initial blocks. A series of designs can be obtained by taking different initial blocks when $k=2$ and 3 and have been presented below.

Table III
Values of $U_{r}, V_{r},\left(4 \gamma_{1}-\beta_{1}\right), \nu,\left(\beta_{2}-4 \gamma_{2}\right) \nu,\left(\beta_{1} \gamma_{2}-\beta_{2} \gamma_{1}\right)$ and E.F. which appear in the solution of the treatment effects in design with block size 4 and odd number of treatments

| $r$ | $U_{r}$ | $V_{r}$ | $v$ | $\left(4 \gamma_{1}-\beta_{1}\right) v$ | $\left(\beta_{2}-4 \gamma_{2}\right) v$ | $\left(\beta_{1} \gamma_{2}-\beta_{2} \gamma_{1}\right)$ | E.F. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 1 | 5 | -45 | 215 | 48 | 0.9800 |
| 1 | -3 | 1 | 7 | -47 | -434 | 377 | 0.8249 |
| 2 | 3 | -8 | 9 | 1,03; | -1,170 | 3,145 | 0.7711 |
| 3 | 15 | 24 | 1 I | -3,509 | 10,802 | $\begin{array}{r}26,269 \\ \hline, 19,413\end{array}$ | $0 \cdot 7026$ |
| 4 | $-76$ | $-15$ | 13 | 2,327 | $-31,486$ | $2,19,413$ $18,32,625$ | 0.8443 0.6059 |
| 5 | 154 | -143 | 15 | 30,375 | $\begin{array}{r}6,750 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 18,32,625 | 0.6059 |
| 6 | 102 | - 640 | 17 | - 1,48,392 | $3,16,574$ $-1335,358$ | $1,53,06,833$ $13,01,90,682$ | 0.5516 0.5206 |
| 7 | - 1,6̄0 | - 1,088 | 19 | 2,71,111 | $-1335,358$ 2046130 | 1,06,78,46,845 | 0.4818 |
| 8 | 6,043 | - 1,455 | 21 | 4,60,635 | 2006.130 $56,52,526$ | $1,06,78,46,845$ $8,91,90,94,697$ | 0.4.523 |
| 8 | $-4,233$ | 14,289 | 23 | -43,43.397 | $\begin{array}{r}56,52,526 \\ -4,18,96,050 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $8,81,90,94,697$ | 0.4224 |
| 10 | -26,999 | -38,888 | 25 | 1,30,61,475 | $-4,18,96,000$ $10,62,15,57, ~$ | 6,22,22,06,03,405 | $0 \cdot 4044$ |
| 11 | 1,31.805 | 19,5;6 | 27 | -58,44,28.5 | $10,62,15,57 \mathrm{~J}$ $-16,18,142$ | $6,22,22,06,03,405$ $51,97,04,16,10,021$ | $0.3838$ |
| 12 | -2.45 840 | 2,54,881 | 29 | 10,41,25,92] | $-16,18,142$ $-1,01,24,07,362$ | 4,32,3; $93,61,00,481$ | 0.3838 |
| 13 | -2.24.460 | $-10,74,015$ | 31 | $45,36,67,919$ $-73,55,35,185$ | $-1,01,24,07,362$ $\mathbf{3 , 8 6 , 5 3 , 3 3 . 8 7 0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,32,3593,61,00,481 \\ & 3635, \therefore 9,93,13,55,105 \end{aligned}$ | $\cdots$ |
| 14 | 28.51,020 | 17,07,840 | 33 35 | $-73,55,35,185$ $-1,49,13,52,65$ | $3,86,53,33.870$ $-5,09,78,64,030$ | $3635,49,91,50,50,565$ | - |
| 15 | -83 19,924 | 28,69,696 | 35 | -1,49,13,52,065 | $-5,09,78,64,030$ $-1,66,73,25,02,894$ | - $\begin{array}{r}\mathbf{3}, 02,82,79,50,1,30,557\end{array}$ | . |
| 16 | 58,72677 | -2,4. $, 15,999$ | 37 | 12,52,01,50.243 | $-1,66,73,25,02,894$ | $25,21,90,76,50,44,36,054$ $2,14,2590,02,90,02,47,945$ | . |
| 17 | 4,84,28,913 | 6.36.09,697 | 39 | -33,26,3+,05,565 | $1,11,25,57: 98,030$ $-2,60,9752,84,091$ | $2,11,2590,02,90,02,47,945$ $20,77,67,81,38,03,72,88,605$ |  |
| 18 | - 22,20,33,745 | -2,24,65,496 | 41 | 9,04,19,81,371 | $-2,60,9752,84,091$ $-46,80,22,2,046$ | 20,77,67,81,38,03,72,88,605 |  |
| 19 | 38,59 68,854 | -45,10,41,000 | 43 | 2,72,44, $36,04,547$ | -46,80,22,2 1,046 | * |  |
| 20 | 46,59,68,854 | 1,79,88,9 ),377 | 45 | $-11,01,42,76,01625$ | 25,67,40,13,73,250 |  |  |
| 21 | -4,91,15,40,018 | -2,65,8: 19,535 | 47 | 16.22,21:89,92,887 | - $91.5188,36,00,866$ | * |  |
| 22 | 13 65,35 45,010 | -5,52,66,96,208 | 49 | 39,75,65,93,80,231 | 5,62,98, 22,35,41,910 |  |  |
| 23 | $-7,75,14,00,342$ | 41,95,12,39,680 |  | . | . | - |  |

* Means that figures are too large in these entries.

Table IV
Values of $U_{r}, V_{r},\left(4 \gamma_{1}-\beta_{1}\right) \nu,\left(\beta_{2}-4 \gamma_{2}\right) \nu,\left(\beta_{1} \gamma_{2}-\beta_{2} \gamma_{1}\right)$ and E.F. which appear in the solutions of the treatment effect in designs with block size 4 (Even number of treatments)

| $r$ | $U_{r}$ | $V_{r}$ | $v$ | $\left(4 \gamma_{1}-\beta_{1}\right)=$ | $\left(2.2-4 \gamma_{2}\right) \geqslant$ | $\left(\beta_{1} \gamma_{2}-\beta_{2} \gamma_{1}\right)$ | E.F. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 |  |  |  | -120 |  |  |  |
| 1 | $-2$ | 1 | 8 | -120 | -234 <br> -704 | 261 2176 | 0.9016 0.8095 |
| $\begin{array}{r}2 \\ 3 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 18 | -5 | 10 | -1,410 | - | 2,176 18,180 | 0.8085 |
| 3 4 4 | 18 -63 | 18 | 12 | -1,680 | - $\begin{array}{r}18,960\end{array}$ | 18,180 $1,51,840$ | 0.7537 0.6723 |
| 5 | $\begin{array}{r}\text {-63 } \\ \hline 6\end{array}$ | -9 -95 | 14 | \% 30,856 | 3,248 | 12,68,228 | $0 \cdot 6185$ |
| 6 | 256 | -95 | 18 | $-1,07,264$ | 1,97,888 | 1,11,81,324 | $0 \cdot 5831$ |
| 7 | 256 $-1,548$ | 421 -7104 | 18 | 99,720 7 | 6,30,160 | 8,84,75,140 | $0 \cdot 5323$ |
| 8 | 3,343 | -794 | 20 | $7,24,080$ -3863 | 12,48,240 | 74,54,05,920 | $0 \cdot 4979$ |
| 9 | 8,810 | -995 | 22 | $-38,63,288$ 7798 | 25,97,616 | 6,22,39,14,196 | $0 \cdot 4668$ |
| 10 | -31,232 | 9,441 $-25,649$ | 24 26 | 77,98,080 | - 2,65,87,200 | 51,98,38,35,200 | $0 \cdot 4393$ |
| 11 | 1,04,806 | $-25,649$ 12,640 | 28 | - $\begin{array}{r}79,56,104 \\ -10,6596112\end{array}$ | , 6.73 83,472 | 4,34,18, $50,01,972$ | $0 \cdot 4155$ |
| 12 | -1,13,535 | 1,68,931 | 30 | - $\begin{array}{r}\text { 33,13,17,000 }\end{array}$ | $-1,52,656$ $-64817,200$ | 36,20 51,81,59,744 | $0 \cdot 4001$ |
| 13 | -4,69,800 | - 7,09,199 | 30 32 | $33,13,17,000$ $-24,49,43,872$ | - $64,188,17,200$ | 3,02,90.16,80,49,300 | $0 \cdot 3657$ |
| 14 | £6,26,560 | 11,22,345 | 34 | $-24,49,43,872$ $-2,20,65,66,136$ | $2,47,37,46,944$ $-3,24,75,388$ | 25,29,95,90,08,94,461 | .. |
| 15 | -54,58,904 | 19,14,016 | 34 36 | $-2,20,65,66,136$ $10,64,78,05,680$ | - 3,24,75,38,288 | 2,11,31,25,50,05,32,072 | .. |
| 16 | - 24,37,247 | -1,62,11,879 | 38 | $10,64,78,05,680$ $-19,40,91,45,840$ | $-1,09,60,84,480$ $716,46,71,66556$ | 17,64,96,90,63,22,65,920 | . |
| 17 | 5,43,01,590 | 4,19,26,940 | $4{ }^{3}$ | - $25,63,31,24,160$ | $7,16,46,71,66,556$ $-1,67,69,27,64,480$ | 1,46,92,67,28,69,86,46,756 | $\cdots$ |
| 18 | $-17,26,85,232$ | $-1,43,67,629$ | 42 | 2.74,94,10,15,720 | $-1,67,69,27,64,480$ $2,15,62,15,65,684$ | $12,29,63,83,86,15,99,70,560$ | . |
| 19 | 16,38,72.522 | - 29,88,65,664 | 44 | - 2,93,41,24,35,024 | - $16,62,00,47,89,808$ | * * | $\cdots$ |
| 20 | 85,75,32,721 | 1,18,76,42,815 | 46 | 4,48,01,22, 44,216 | -59,10.54,76,72,4:32 | * | . |
| 21 | - 4,43,14,77,164 | -1,74,63,71,279 | 48 | 55,59,38.08,08,320 | -68,88,55,49,49,120 | * |  |
| 23 | $8,60,37,16,192$ $6,04,68,43,068$ | -3,68,11,12,979 | 50 | -2,47,54,46,71,39,800 | 2,50,26, $79,05,87,600$ |  | $\cdots$ |
| 24. | $-94,00,52,18,6$ ธ̄ 5 | $27,73,64,58,880$ $-68,33,68,63,659$ | $\cdots$ | .. | .. |  |  |
|  |  | -68,33,68,63,659 |  | - |  |  | . |

* Entries in these columns are too large.


### 3.11. Case $4 a-k=2, r=4$ ( $v$ is odd)

The layout of the design is obtained by developing the initial blocks, viz., $(1,2)$ and $(1,3), \bmod v$.

The solution for $t_{i}$ for the design is given by the expression

$$
\left(5 v t_{i}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{p}(p-u+1)(p-u+2) Q_{i}^{n-1}-\frac{2 \nu}{B_{1}} \sum_{N=1}^{p} \bar{U}_{p-u} Q_{i}^{u-1} .
$$

whero

$$
\beta_{1}=-U_{i-1}+3 U_{p-2}-U_{p-3}-U_{p-4}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U_{u}=-U_{u-1}+4 U_{u-2}-U_{u-3}-U_{u-4} . \\
& U_{0}=1, U_{1}=-2, \quad U_{2}=6, U_{3}=-15, \text { atc. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The different variances are given by

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\operatorname{Var}\left(t_{i}-t_{i} \pm u\right)=\frac{2}{5 v}\left\{u(v-u)-\frac{2 v}{\beta_{1}}\left(U_{p-1}-U_{1 \cdots u-1}\right\} \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathbf{2}}\right. \\
\\
u=1, \cdots p .
\end{array}
$$

Tabulated values of $. U_{w}, \beta_{1}$, and E.F. have been presented in Table V.

### 3.12. Case $4 b-k=2, r=4$ ( $v$ is even)

The design is to be obtained from the same two initial blocks as when $v$ is odd.

The solution for $t_{i}$ is given by

$$
5 v t_{i}=\sum_{u=1}^{p}(p-u+1)^{2} Q_{i}^{u-1}-\frac{2 v}{\beta_{1}} \sum_{u=1}^{p} U_{p-u} Q_{i}^{u-1}
$$

where $U$ 's, $\beta_{1}$ and variance expressions are the same as in the above case except with the different initial values for $U$ 's, i.e.,

$$
U_{0}=1, U_{1}=-1, U_{2} \doteq 4, U_{3}=-9, U_{4}=25, \text { otc. }
$$

Tabulated values of $U_{r}, \beta_{1}$ and E.F. have been presented in Table VI.

## Table V

Values of $U_{r}$ and $\beta_{1}$ which appear in the solution of the treatment effect in designs with block size two and with initial blocks $(1,2)$ and.
$(1,3)$ and for odd and even number of treatment


## Table VI

Values of $U$, and $\beta_{1}$ which appear in the solution of the treatment effect in designs with block size two and with initial blocks $(1,2)$ and $(1,3)$ and for odd and even number of treatment

| $r$ | $U$, | $v$ | $\beta_{1}$ | E.F. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | 6 | $-8$ | 0.5769 |
| 1 | -1 | 8 | 21 | $0 \cdot 5069$ |
| 2 | 4 | 10 | -55 | 0.4492 |
| 3 | -9 | 12 | 144 | 0.4024 |
| 4 | 25 | 14 | -377 | $0 \cdot 3642$ |
| 5 | -64 | 16 | 987 | 0.3324 |
| 6 | 169 | 18 | -2,584 | $0 \cdot 3056$ |
| 7 | -441 | 20 | 6,765 | $0 \cdot 2828$ |
| 8 | 1,156 | 22 | -17,711 | 0.2631 |
| 9 | $-3,025$ | 24 | - 46,368 | $0 \cdot 2459$ |
| 10 | 7,921 | 26 | - $-1,21,393$ | $0 \cdot 2309$ |
| 11 | -20,736 | 28 | 3,17,811 | 0.2175 |
| 12 | - 54,289 | 30 | -8,32,040 | $0 \cdot 2057$ |
| 13 | -1,42,129 | 32 | 21,78,309 |  |
| 14 | -- 3,72,100 | - 34 | -57,02,887 | . . |
| 15 | -9,74,169 | 36 | 1,49,30,352 | . |
| 16 | 25,50,409 | 38 | $-3,90,88,169$ | $\cdots$ |
| 17 | -66,77,056 | 40 | 10,23,34,155 | . |
| 18 | 1,74,80,761 | 42 | -26,79,14,296 | . |
| 19 | $-4,57,65,225$ | 44 | 70,14,08,733 | . |
| 20 | 11,98,14,916 | 46 | $-1,83,63,11,903$ |  |
| 21 | -31,36,29,521. | 48 | 4,80,75,26,976 | . |
| 22 | 82,12,23,649 | 50 | -12,58.62,69,025 | . |
| 23 | -2,14,99,91,424 | - | . | . |
| : 24 | 5,62,87,50,624 |  |  | . |

3.21. Case $5 a-k=2, r=6$

The layout of the design is obtained by developing the initial blocks, viz., $(1,2),(1,3)$ and $(1,4), \bmod v$ both when $v$ is odd or even. When $\nu$ is odd

The solution for $t_{i}$ for the design is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
14 v t_{i}= & \sum_{u=1}^{p}(p-u+1)(p-u+2) Q_{i} i^{u-1} \\
& +\frac{2 v\left(\beta_{2}-3 \gamma_{2}\right)}{\left(\beta_{1} \gamma_{2}-\beta_{2} \gamma_{1}\right)} \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} U_{p-u-1} Q_{i^{n-1}} \\
& +\frac{2 v\left(3 \gamma_{1}-\beta_{1}\right)}{\left(\beta_{1} \gamma_{2}-\beta_{2} \gamma_{1}\right)} \sum_{u=1}^{p} V_{u-n} Q_{i^{n-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta_{1}=-U_{p-2}+5 U_{p-2}-2 U_{p-4}-U_{p-5}-U_{p-6}, \\
& \gamma_{1}=U_{p-1}-U_{p-3} \\
& U_{u}=-U_{u-1}-U_{u-2}+6 U_{u-3}-U_{u-4}-U_{u-5}-U_{u-6}, \\
& U_{0}=1, U_{1}=-2, U_{2}=1, U_{3}=7, \text { etc. }, \ldots \\
& \beta_{2}=-V_{p-1}+5 V_{u-2}-2 V_{p-3}-V_{i-4}-V_{p-5}, \\
& \gamma_{2}=V_{p}-V_{p-2}, \\
& V_{u}=-V_{u-1}-V_{u-2}+6 V_{u-3}-V_{u-4}-V_{u-5}-V_{u-6}, \\
& V_{0}=1, V_{1}=1, \quad V_{2}=-4, V_{3}=8, \text { etc. },
\end{aligned}
$$

The different variances are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Var}\left(t_{i}-t_{i \pm u}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{7 v}\left\{u(v-u)+\frac{2 v\left(\beta_{2}-3 \gamma_{2}\right)}{\left(\beta_{1} \gamma_{2}-.{ }_{2} \gamma_{1}\right)}\left(U_{p-2}-U_{p-i-2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{2 v\left(3 \gamma_{1}-\beta_{1}\right)}{\left(\beta_{1} \gamma_{2}-\beta_{2} \gamma_{1}\right)}\left(V_{p}-V_{p-u-1}\right)\right\} \sigma^{2} \\
& u=1,2, \cdots p .
\end{aligned}
$$

Tabulated values of $U_{u},{ }^{\prime} V_{u},\left(3 \gamma_{1}-\beta_{1}\right) v,\left(\beta_{2}-3 \gamma_{2}\right) v$ and $\left(\beta_{1} \gamma_{2}-\beta_{2} \gamma_{1}\right)$ have been presented in Table VII.

Table VII
Values of $U_{r}, \quad V_{1}, \quad\left(3 \gamma_{1}-\beta_{1}\right) v, \quad\left(\beta_{2}-3 \gamma_{2}\right) \nu, \quad\left(\beta_{1} \gamma_{2}-\beta_{2} \gamma_{1}\right)$ which appear in the solutions of treatment effects in designs with block size two with initial blocks $(1,2),(1,3)$ and $(1,4)$ for number of treatments


### 3.22. Case $5 b-k=2, r=6$ ( $v$ is even)

The solution for $t_{i}$ is given by the expression

$$
\begin{aligned}
14 v t_{i}= & \sum_{*=1}^{p}(p-u+1)^{2} Q_{i}^{u-1}+\frac{2 v\left(\beta_{2}-3 \gamma_{2}\right)}{\left(\beta_{1} \gamma_{2}-\beta_{2} \gamma_{1}\right)} \sum_{u=1}^{p-1} U_{p-\alpha-1} Q_{i}{ }^{u-1} \\
& +\frac{2 v\left(3 \gamma_{1}-\beta_{1}\right)}{\left(\beta_{1} \gamma_{2}-\beta_{2} \gamma_{1}\right)} \sum_{u=1}^{p} V_{p-u} Q_{i}^{u-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\beta$ 's, $\gamma$ 's, $U$ 's, $V$ 's and variance expressions are the same as expressed above and the initial values in the difference equations are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U_{0}=1, \quad U_{1}=-1, \quad U_{2}=-1, \quad U_{3}=8, \text { etc. } \\
& V_{0}=1, \quad V_{1}=1, \quad V_{2}=-2, \quad V_{3}=5, \text { etc. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Tabulated values of quantities as indicated above have been presented in Table VIII.

### 3.3. Case 6-When $k=3, r=6$

This class of designs have been obtained by developing the initial blocks $(1,2,3)$ and $(1,2,4)$, mod $v$. The analysis of this type of design corresponds to the case $k=4$, except with the minor changes as indicated.

The solution for $t_{i}$ for the designs is obtainc d by multiplying the R.H.S. of the case $3(a)$ and $3(b)$ by $3 / 4$. The variance will be $3 / 4$ th to that of $k=4$ and the efficiency factor will be $8 / 9$ times to that of $k=4$.

## 4. Some Designs with Unequal Differences in the Initial Block

During the course of investigation it was seen that there is another class of designs, which are more efficient than the designs obtained in the previous section. The solution of designs with blcck size 3 with one initial block and of a design with block size 2 with two initial blocks is presented below.

### 4.1. Case 7

$k=r=3$ with the initial block of the type $(1,2,4)$ or $(1,3,4)$ mod $v$. The analysis of this type of designs is the same as that of $k=2, r=6$, i.e., Case Nos. 5 (a) and 5 (b) except for some minor changes.

## Table VIII

1 Values of $U_{r}, \quad V_{r}, \quad\left(3 \gamma_{1}-\beta_{1}\right) v,\left(\beta_{2}-3 \gamma_{2}\right) \nu,\left(\beta_{1} \gamma_{2}-\beta_{2} \gamma_{1}\right)$ which appear in the solutions of treatment effects in designs with block size two with initial blocks $(1,2),(1,3)$ and $(1,4)$ for even number of treatments


Solution of $t_{i}$ is obtained by multiplying the R.H.S. of the solution in the case when $k=2$ and $r=6$ by $3 / 2$ and the same change for the variance.

For this case, Tables VII and VIII have to be used for the analysis. Table (A) below indicates how the efficiency factor differs from the case when $k=3$ with the initial block (1,2,3).

Table A

| V | I | II |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 0.8148 | 0.9059 |
| 10 | 0.5498 | 0.6997 |
| 15 | 0.4088 | 0.5871 |
| 20 | 0.3262 | 0.5159 |
| 25 | 0.2710 | 0.4543 |
| 30 | 0.2257 | 0.4056 |

Col. I corresponds to the designs having the initial block of the type (1,2,3) and Col. II corresponds to the designs with the initial block of the type $(1,2,4)$ or $(1,3,4) \bmod v$.
4.21. Case $8 a$-When $k=2$ and $r=4, v=2 p+1, b=2 v$

The designs are obtained by developing two initial blocks, viz., $(1,3)$ and $(1,4) \bmod v$. This class of designs are also partially balanced incomplete block design with $p$ number of associate classes with the following parameters:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{i} & =1(i=2,3) \\
& =0(i=1,4, \cdots p) \\
n_{i} & =2(i=1, \cdots p) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The solution for $t_{i}$ for the design is given by

$$
13 v t_{i}=\sum_{n=1}^{p}(p-u+1)(p-u+2) Q_{i}^{*-1}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\frac{2 v\left(3 \gamma_{1}-\beta_{1}\right)}{\left(\beta_{1} \gamma_{2}-\beta_{2} \gamma_{1}\right)} \sum_{v=1}^{p-1} U_{p-u-1} Q_{l^{n-1}} \\
& +\frac{2 v\left(\beta_{2}-3 \gamma_{2}\right)}{\left(\beta_{1} \gamma_{2}-\beta_{2} \gamma\right)} \sum_{\psi=1}^{p} V_{v-u} Q_{i}{ }^{n-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta_{1}=3 U_{p-2}-U_{p-4}-U_{p-5}-U_{p-6} \\
& \gamma_{1}=U_{p \rightarrow 1}-U_{p-3} \\
& U_{u}=-U_{u-1}+4 U_{w-3}-U_{k-5}-U_{u-6}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U_{0}=1, \quad U_{1}=-2, \quad U_{2}=2, U_{3}=2, \text { etc }, \\
& \beta_{2}=3 V_{p-2}-V_{p-3}-V_{p-4}-V_{p-5}, \\
& \gamma_{1}=V_{p}-V_{p-2}, \\
& V_{u}=-V_{u-k}+4 V_{u-3}-V_{u-5}-V_{u-6}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
V_{0}=1, V_{1}=1, V_{2}=-3, V_{3}=6, \text { etc. }
$$

The different variances are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Var}\left(t_{i}-t_{i} \pm_{u}\right) \\
& =\frac{2}{13 v}\left\{u(v-u)+\frac{2 v\left(3 \gamma_{1}-\beta_{1}\right)}{\left(\beta_{1} \gamma_{2}-\beta_{2}^{-1}\right)}\left(U_{p-2}-U_{p \rightarrow u-2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{2 v\left(\beta_{2}-3 \gamma_{2}\right)}{\left(\beta_{1} \gamma_{2}-\beta_{2} \gamma_{1}\right)}\left(V_{p-1}-V_{p-u-1}\right)\right\} \sigma^{2} \\
& u=1, \cdots p .
\end{aligned}
$$

Tabulated values of $U_{u}, V_{u},\left(3 \gamma_{3}-\beta_{1}\right) v,\left(\beta_{2}-3 \gamma_{2}\right) v,\left(\beta_{1} \gamma_{2}-\beta_{2} \gamma_{1}\right)$ and E.F. have been presented in Table IX.
4.32. Case $8 b$-When $k=2$ and $r=4, \quad v=2 p$ ( $p$ is not a multiple of 3 ), $b=2 v$
The primary parameters and recurrence relations are same as above. The solution for $t_{i}$ for the design is given by

Table IX
Values of $U_{r}, V_{r}\left(3 \gamma_{1}-\beta_{1}\right) v,\left(\beta_{2}-3 \gamma_{2}\right) v,\left(\beta_{1} \gamma_{2}-\beta_{2} \gamma_{1}\right)$ which appear in the solutions of treatment effects in designs with block size two with initial block $(1,3)$ and $(1,4)$


$$
\begin{aligned}
13 v t_{i}= & \left.\sum_{u=1}^{p}(p-u+1)^{2} Q_{i}^{u-1}+\frac{2 v\left(3 \gamma_{1}-\beta_{1}\right)}{\left(\beta_{1} \gamma_{2}-\beta_{2} \gamma_{1}\right.}\right) \sum_{u=1}^{p-1} U_{p-u-1} Q_{i}^{u-1} \\
& +\frac{2 v\left(\beta_{2}-3 \gamma_{2}\right)}{\left(\beta_{1} \gamma_{2}-\beta_{2} \gamma_{1}\right)} \sum_{u=1}^{p} V_{p-u} Q_{i}^{u-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the initial values of $U$ 's and $V$ 's are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U_{0}=1, U_{1}=-1, U_{2}=0, U_{3}=4, \text { etc. } \\
& V_{0}=1, V_{1}=1, V_{2}=-1, V_{3}=3, \text { etc. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The different variances are the same as given in Case $8 a$.
All the columns as in Case $8 a$ have also been presented in Table X.

### 4.3. Case 9—When $k=2$ and $r=4$. $v=2 p+1, \quad b=2 v$

The designs are obtained by developing the initial block of the type $(1,2)$ and $(1,4) \bmod v$. This class of designs are partially balanced incomplete block designs with $p$ associate classes with the following parameters:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{i} & =1(i=1,3) \\
& =0(i=2,4, \cdots p) \\
n_{i} & =2(i=1, \cdots p) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The solution for $t_{i}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
10 v t_{i}= & \sum_{u=1}^{\prime}(p-u+1)(p-u-2) Q_{i}^{u-1} \\
& +\frac{2 v\left(\beta_{2}-2 \gamma_{2}\right)}{\left(\beta_{1} \gamma_{2}-\beta_{2} \gamma_{1}\right)} \sum_{u=1}^{p-1} U_{p-u-1} Q_{i}^{u-1} \\
& +\frac{2 v\left(2 \gamma_{1}-\beta_{1}\right)}{\left(\beta_{1} \gamma_{2}-\beta_{2} \gamma_{1}\right)} \sum_{u=1}^{p} V_{p-u} Q_{i}^{u-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta_{1}=-U_{p-2}+4 U_{p-3}-2 U_{p-4}-U_{p-6} \\
& \gamma_{b}=U_{p-1}-U_{p-3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Values of $U_{r}, V_{r},\left(3 \gamma_{1}-\beta_{1}\right) v,\left(\beta_{2}-3 \gamma_{2}\right) v,\left(\beta_{1} r_{2}-\beta_{2} r_{1}\right)$ and E.F. which appear in the solutions of treatment effects in designs with block size two with initial blocks $(1,3)$ and $(1,4)$

| r | $N_{r}$ | ${ }^{v_{r}}$ | $v$ | $\left(3 \gamma_{1}-\beta_{2}\right) v$ | $\left(\beta_{2}-3 \gamma_{2}\right) v$ | $\left(\beta_{1} \gamma_{2}-\beta_{2} \gamma_{1}\right)$ | E.F. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | , | 1 | 8 | 152 | -64 | 21 | 0.5069 |
| 8 | -1 | -1 | 10 | $\begin{array}{r}182 \\ -240 \\ \hline 109\end{array}$ | 320 | 64 | 0.6000 |
| 8 | 0 | -1 | 14 16 | 109 $-2,676$ | 182 1808 | 559 1 659 | 0.4588 |
| 4 | -s | 0 | 20 | $-2,676$ $-3,520$ | 1,808 6,400 | 1,659 | ${ }^{0.4498}$ |
| 5 | 7 | -5 | 22 | -17,886 | 3,256 | - $43,2 \div 3$ | 0.4062 9.3907 |
| 6 | 9 | 15 | 26 | -13,260 | 63,310 | 3,80,575 | ${ }_{0.3827}$ |
| 7 | -40 | -15 | . 28 | 76,076 | -46,592 | 11,28,621 | 0.8501 |
| 8 | ${ }^{64}$ | -7 | 32 | -2,88,352 | 4,08,320 | 99,25,797 |  |
| ${ }^{10}$ | -24 | -64 | 34 | - 79,754 | -6,21,622 | 2,94, $, 5, \mathrm{f}, 71$ |  |
| 10 | $-135$ | -119 | 38 | -24,62,894 | 17,89,496 | 25,87,80,519 |  |
| -11 | 375 | 81 | 40 | 20,20,720 | -46,02,880 | 76,80,29,504 |  |
| 18 | -440 | 175 | 44 | - 1,43,73,656 | 33,16,852 | 6,75,17,56,371 |  |
| 13 | -124 | -629 | 46 | 2,29,60,716 | -2,34,25,424 | 20,02,28,23,927 |  |
| 14 | -1,584 | -896 | 50 | 5,70,68,400 | -2,87,40,800 | 1,76,09,31,48,736 |  |
| 15 16 | $-3,195$ $-1,449$ | ${ }_{-2,337}^{-141}$ | $\cdots$ | .. | $\cdots$ | 1,70,31,48, | $\cdots$ |
| 17 | -3,952 | $-5,665$ |  |  | $\because$ |  | $\cdots$ |
| 18 | -16,128 | ${ }_{-5,775}$ | $\cdots$ | . | $\ldots$ |  | .. |
| 19 | 24,464 -7055 -5.4 | -3,840 | .. | $\cdots$ | .. |  | $\cdots$ |
| ${ }_{21}^{20}$ | - $-56,721$ | - $-25,45$ $-46,367$ |  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | .. |
| 22 | 1,48,176 | 27,679 |  |  | . | $\cdots$ |  |
| ${ }^{23}$ | -1,64,220 | 75,411 -2.61264 | $\because$ | $\because$ | . | . | .. |
| 24 86 | $\bar{\epsilon}, 46,295$ | $\underset{\substack{-2,61,264 \\ 3,40,075}}{ }$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | . | . |
|  | ¢,40,2. | 3,4,075 | . |  |  | . | . |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U_{u}=-U_{u-2}+4 U_{u-3}-U_{u-5}-U_{u-6}, \\
& U_{0}=1, U_{1}=-1, U_{2}=-1, U_{3}=5, \text { etc. }
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta_{2}=-V_{p-1}+4 V_{p-2}-2 V_{p-3}-V_{p-5} \\
& \gamma_{2}=V_{0}-V_{p-2} \\
& V_{u}=-V_{u-2}+4 V_{u-3}-V_{u-5}-V_{u-6} \\
& V_{0}=1, V_{1}=1, \quad V_{2}=-2, \quad V_{3}=2, \quad V_{4}=5, \text { etc. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The different variances are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Var}\left(t_{i}-t_{i \pm u}\right) \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{5 v}\left\{u(v-u)+\frac{2 v\left(\beta_{2}-2 \gamma_{2}\right)}{\left(\beta_{1} \gamma_{2}-\beta_{2} \gamma_{1}\right)}\left(U_{p-2}-U_{p-\mu-2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\frac{2 v\left(2 \gamma_{1}-\beta_{1}\right)}{\left(\beta_{1} \gamma_{2}-\beta_{2} \gamma_{1}\right)}\left(V_{p-1}-V_{p-u-1}\right)\right\} \sigma^{2} \\
& u=1,2, \cdots p .
\end{aligned}
$$

Tables for $U_{u}, V_{u},\left(\beta_{2}-2 \gamma_{2}\right) v,\left(2 \gamma_{1}-\beta_{1}\right) v,\left(\beta_{1} \gamma_{2}-\beta_{2} \gamma_{1}\right)$ and E.F. have been calculated for different $v$ 's and presented in Table XI.

In order to compare the different designs of the type having the same primary parameters, the following table has been prepared.

The above table clearly shows the E.F. for the initial blocks $(1,2)$ $(1,4)$ is greater than with the initial block $(1,2)(1,3)$ and E.F. for the initial block $(1,3)(1,4)$ is greater than that of $(1,2)(1,4)$. In certain cases E.F.'s are same for two different types which means that one case is reducible to the other one,

Table for efficiency factor ( $k=2, r=4$ )

| Number <br> of <br> treatments | E.F. of the <br> designs <br> with the <br> initial blocks <br> $(1,2)$ and $(1,3)$ | E.F. of the <br> designs <br> with the <br> initial blocks <br> $(1,2)$ and $(1,4)$ | E.F. of the <br> designs <br> with the <br> initial blocks <br> $(1,3)$ and $(1,4)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 0.6250 | 0.6522 | 0.6522 |
| 6 | 0.5769 | - | - |
| 7 | 0.5414 | 0.5417 | 0.5417 |
| 8 | 0.5096 | - | 0.5096 |
| 9 | 0.4742 | 0.5092 | 0.5092 |
| 10 | 0.4492 | - | 0.5000 |
| 11 | 0.4246 | 0.4866 | 0.4866 |
| 12 | 0.4024 | - | - |
| 13 | 0.3824 | 0.4576 | 0.4688 |
| 14 | 0.3642 | - | 0.4588 |
| 15 | 0.3476 | 0.4333 | 0.4498 |
| 16 | 0.3324 | - | 0.4498 |
| 17 | 0.3184 | 0.4105 | 0.4314 |
| 18 | 0.3056 | - | - |
| 19 | 0.2938 | 0.3843 | 0. |

$(-)$ means designs does't exist.

Table XI
Values of $U_{r}, V_{r},\left(2 \gamma_{1}-\beta_{1}\right) v,\left(\beta_{2}-2 \gamma_{2}\right) \nu,\left(\beta_{1} \gamma_{2}-\beta_{2} \gamma_{1}\right)$ and E.F. which appear in the solutions of treatment effects in designs with block size two with initial blocks $(1,2)$ and $(1,4)$


## 5. How to Use Tables

An illustration with $v=15$ and $k=3$.

$$
\text { For } \quad v=15, p=\frac{v-1}{2}=7, k=r=3 .
$$

The solution for $t_{i}$ can be written with the help of Table $I$, and is given by the equation:

$$
4 v t_{i}=\sum_{u=1}^{7}(8-u)(9-u) Q_{i^{u-1}}-\frac{2 v}{\beta_{1}} \sum_{u \pm 1}^{\tau} U_{7-u} Q_{i}^{u-1}
$$

where $\beta_{1}=-7953$ for $v=15$.
The value of $\beta_{1}$ has been obtained from Col. II entered against $v=15$ and substituting the values of $U_{6} \cdots U_{0}$, we have ( $4 \times 15$ $\times 2651) t_{i}=2651\left\{56 Q_{i}+42 Q_{i}{ }^{1}+30 Q_{i}{ }^{2}+20 Q_{i}{ }^{3}+12 Q_{i}{ }^{4}+6 Q_{i}{ }^{5} \quad\right.$. $\left.+2 Q_{i}{ }^{6}\right\}+2 \times 5\left\{2296 Q_{i}-615 Q_{i}{ }^{1}+165 Q_{i}{ }^{2}-44 Q_{i}{ }^{3}+12 Q_{i}{ }^{4}\right.$ $\left.-3 Q_{i}{ }^{5}+Q_{i}{ }^{6}\right\}$ or $159060 t_{i}=171416 Q_{i}+105192 Q_{i}{ }^{1}+81180 Q_{i}{ }^{2}$ $+52580 Q_{i}{ }^{3}+31932 Q_{i}{ }^{4}+15876 Q_{i}{ }^{5}+5312 Q_{i}{ }^{6}$.

The values of $U$ 's have been obtained from Col. I of the table. Thus the above expression gives the solution of $t_{i}$ which can furtleer be simplified. The different variances can be obtained by using either the formula or directly from the above expression.

## 6. Summary

In an attempt to get incomplete block designs for each and every number of treatinents the problem of analysis of circular designs was introduced by Das and was solved for the designs with the blocks of size two has been solved for plots of sizes three and four. Necessary tables required for obtaining the intra-block analysis readily have also been prepared and presented. A special class of designs with blocks of two plots and having more than one initial block has been investigated. Another class of more efficient type of designs with block size three has also been investigated.
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